

From: Mike Graessle
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/24/02 11:14am
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

As a computer user for the last 10 years, I have watched Microsoft stomp on every perceived threat or competitor. I would like it boycott Microsoft but that isn't an option. I have no choice but to use Microsoft products and the proposed settlement will do nothing to change this.

A just penalty, I continue, would at barest minimum include three additional features:

Any remedy seeking to prevent an extension of Microsoft's monopoly must place Microsoft products as extra-cost options in the purchase of new computers, so that the user who does not wish to purchase them is not forced to do so. This means that for the price differential between a new computer with Microsoft software and one without, a computer seller must offer the software without the computer (which would prevent computer makers from saying that the difference in price is only a few dollars). Only then could competition come to exist in a meaningful way.

The specifications of Microsoft's present and future document file formats must be made public, so that documents created in Microsoft applications may be read by programs from other makers, on Microsoft's or other operating systems. This is in addition to opening the Windows application program interface (API, the set of "hooks" that allow other parties to write applications for Windows operating systems), which is already part of the proposed settlement.

Any Microsoft networking protocols must be published in full and approved by an independent network protocol body. This would prevent Microsoft from seizing de facto control of the Internet.

Thank you.

Michael C. Graessle
ph: (314) 301-2587 fax: (314) 919-1452
mike.graessle@wwt.com